

## Evaluation Of Risk Factors For Dermal Infections with *Staphylococcus aureus* and Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Among Sheep In Diyala Governorate, Iraq

Zainab Bressam Fajer<sup>1</sup>, Ali Ibrahim Ali Al-Ezzy<sup>2</sup>, Ahmed H. AL-Zuhairi<sup>1</sup>

University of Diyala, College of Veterinary medicine, Department of Medicine<sup>1</sup>  
University of Diyala, College of Veterinary medicine, Department of pathology<sup>2</sup>

### Abstract

**Background:** *Staphylococcus aureus* is one of the dominant pathogenic bacteria among skin infections in human and animals.

**Aims:** To evaluate the risk factors of dermal infections with *S.aureus* and methicillin resistant *S.aureus* (MRSA)

**Methods :** standard microbiological procedures were used for isolation of *S.aureus* and MRSA

**Results :** No correlation reported between breed and *S. aureus* infections among sheep. Significant correlation reported between breed and MRSA infections on sheep. No correlation was reported between flock size and *S. aureus* infections among sheep. No significant correlation reported in the middle of flock size and MRSA infections among sheep. No significant correlation reported amongst season, *S. aureus* and MRSA infections in sheep. No significant correlation reported between introducing new sheep to the flock, *S. aureus* and MRSA infections among sheep. probability for infection of wound with *S. aureus* was 0.831time. the risk estimate to get infection with *S. aureus* due to wounds was (1.133) time compared with (0.942) intact skin. The risk estimate to get infection with MRSA for sheep suffered from wounds was (0.689) time compared with (1.234) for infection that reported among sheep with intact skin.



This is an open access article licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

<https://doi.org/10.71375/djvs.2023.01109>

The risk estimate to get infection with *S. aureus* due to abscess was (0.159) time compared with (1.647) for intact skin. The risk estimate to get infection with MRSA for sheep suffered from abscess was (0.780) time compared with (1.122) for intact skin. The risk estimate to get infection with *S. aureus* due to dermatitis was (0.865) time compared with (1.042) for healthy skin. risk estimate to get infection with MRSA for sheep suffered from dermatitis was (1.721) time compared with (0.880) for infection that for intact skin. The risk estimate to get infection with *S. aureus* due to abrasions was (17.448) time compared with (0.634) healthy skin. The risk estimate to get infection with MRSA for sheep suffered from abrasions was (2.525) time compared with (0.883) for intact skin.

### Conclusions:

Abrasions represent major risk factor for getting *S.aureus* and MRSA infection in sheep. The breed, flock size, season, wounds, abscess, dermatitis represent minor risk factor for getting *S.aureus* and MRSA infection in sheep

**Key words :** Staphylococcus aureus ,methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus, skin ,sheep

### Introduction;

*Staphylococcus aureus* is infectious pathogen that causes several diseases ranging from skin infections to necrotizing pneumonia, bacteremia, and life-threatening sepsis [1]

Gram-positive cluster-forming, spherical cells 0.5-1 micrometer in diameter, non-motile, non-spore forming, glucose and mannitol fermenter, catalase and coagulase positive, smooth golden yellow colonies;

aerobically or anaerobically (facultative), able to raise at variety 15-45 C, even at 15% Sod. Chlorides and stay alive over dehydrated atmosphere from days to seasons [2] .While MRSA a group of *S. aureus*, natively different as former strain by methicillin compounds resistant, developed naturally or picked up horizontally through biomarker gene transfer, thought responsible for several difficulty in treating infections [3] .MRSA acquired in three types (HA-MRSA),

(CA-MRSA) and (LA-MRSA) depending on its transmissions through human population and genetic fragments of SCCmec [4]. MRSA emergence in 1960s, leads to great illness, high mortality with augmented cure prices [2, 5].

Sex, age, climate, family, economic, society, education, jobs, hospitalization, pets, environments, rural/urban demographic variations were Factors affect distribution, investigated around planet by biochemical, molecular, studies to evaluate risk estimations by many authors and researchers [6]

Innate sex bias toward female protection against *S. aureus* skin infection due estrogen effect was reported by [7] through Murine patron experiments. Epidemiological expanding related to Global warming and weather changes as an occurrence due to several matters, containing regularity of hotness surfs, hurricane streams

cruelty, fall configuration variations, overflowing, and shore corrosion[8]. Several virulence factors allow adhering to surface, invading, and avoiding immune system, later producing toxic damaging the host tissues[9].

## Materials and Methods:

### Study area and study population

This study was conducted on newborn to less than 1 year old Iraqi calves, living in the Baqubah city - Diyala province 33°45'34.71"N; 44°36'23.97"E, Northeast[10-15].

**Samples :** A total of 75 skin swaps collected from south east distracts of Diyala governorate (Baladruze, Baqubah, Kanaan and Buhruz) in Iraq from 1<sup>st</sup> October 2021 to the end of February 2022, involving sheep suffered from variety of infected skin lesions (wounds, abscesses, dermatitis, abrasions ) recording; sex, breeds, season, flock size, introducing of new



sheep, to detect *S. aureus*, MRSA and estimate risk factors.

Employing traditional laboratory methods (Mannitol, Gram stain, Catalase, Coagulase, Nigrosin Capsule staining, and DNase) in addition to

confirmatory techniques through fast rapid VETEK2 system, later well-known molecular genes assay (conventional PCR), Which applied for detection of *S. aureus* using the specific primer(Staur 4, 6)

<b>Staur 4</b>	<b>5'-ACGGAGTTACAAAGGACGAC-3'</b>
<b>Staur 6</b>	<b>5'-AGCTCAGCCTTAACGAGTAC-3'</b>

While MRSA verified innately via *mecA* gene primer;

<b>Methicillin Resistant Gene</b>	<b>2</b>	<b><i>mecA</i></b>	<b><i>mecA</i>-</b>	<b>162b</b>	<b>5-TCCAGATTACAACCTTCACCAGG-</b>
	<b>0</b>		<b>F</b>	<b>p</b>	<b>3</b>
	<b>0</b>		<b><i>mecA</i>-</b>		<b>3-CCACTTCATATCTTGTAACG-5</b>
	<b>2</b>		<b>R</b>		

### Ethical consideration:

This study conducted according to the principles of Helsinki declaration. A full explanation of the purpose of this study to all owners before starting.

Dully filled consent form obtained from all owners who agree to participate in the study. Approval of an ethical review committee of pathology department, college of veterinary

medicine, Diyala University, Iraq, taken before initiation into the work[13, 16-26].

### Statistical Analysis:

the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences windows version 17 (SPSS, Armonk, NY: IBM Corp)[27, 28] was used . Pearson's chi-square and Pearson's correlation coefficient

was utilized for the correlation between the changeable of 2 test. P value of  $\leq 0.05$  and  $\leq 0.01$  (2-tailed) were set to be statistically significant [29, 30].

## Results:

### Isolation rate :

As shown in table (1), *S. aureus* was isolated from 46 of 75 sheep skin lesions, rated (61.33%) while MRSA

was 14/46, (30.43%) among positive isolates representing (18.67%) from total samples 14/75 according to methicillin resistance among Muller Hinton medium which confirmed by conventional PCR using *S. aureus* 23s RNA gene sequence specific primer (staur4 and staur6); (Figure 1) and *S. aureus* (*mecA* gene), (Figure 2). agreed by Vitek 2 system.

**Table (1): Isolation Rate of *S. aureus* and MRSA from Sheep**

Typical Growth features of <i>S. aureus</i> on Mannitol salt agar	Methicillin Resistant <i>S. aureus</i>			Total
	Negative	Negative	Positive	
		29(38.67%)	0(0%)	29(38.67%)
	Positive	32(42.67%)	14(18.67%)	46(61.33%)
	Total	61(81.33%)	14(18.67%)	75(100%)
$\chi^2$	10.852			
P value	0.001			
R	0.380			
P value	0.001			
Likelihood Ratio	15.669			
P value	0.000			

Significant difference ( $\chi^2=10.852$ ; p value =0.000) was reported between samples regarding the type of isolates *S. aureus* versus MRSA with significant likelihood ratio (p value =0.000)

and correlation between the positive *S. aureus* positive samples to be MRSA (p value =0.000).




Figure (1): Amplification for staur primers 4&6 (1250bp) by conventional PCR for *S. aureus* recovered from skin lesions of sheep .The amplified DNA product was fractionated,  
 NC: Negative control.




Figure (2): Amplification MecA (162bp) by conventional PCR for *S. aureus* recovered from skin lesions of sheep, the amplified DNA product was fractionated.  
 NC: Negative control.

MRSA, while males infection with *S. aureus* represent only 15/75 (20%) versus 5/75, (6.66%) of MRSA.

**A-Sex factor:** As shown in table (2), *S. aureus* and MRSA infections were concentrated among females 31/75, (41.33%) versus 9/75, (12%) for

Female probability to infect with *S. aureus* or (odds ratio) was 1.521 time greater than males, risk estimate for male to get infection with *S. aureus* was (0.740) time compared (1.126) for females, female probability to infects with MRSA or (odds ratio) was (1.438) time greater than males, risk estimate for male to get infection with MRSA was (0.780) time compared with (1.122) for females.

**Table (2): Sheep Sex As A Risk Factor For Infection With *S. aureus* and MRSA**

Sex	Type Of Isolates From Skin Lesions Of Sheep				
	<i>S. aureus</i>		MRSA		Total
	Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative	
Female	31(41.33%)	22(29.33%)	9(12%)	44(58.67%)	53(70.67%)



Male	15(20%)	7(9.33%)	5(6.67%)	17(22.67%)	22(29.33%)
Total	46(61.33%)	29(38.67%)	14(18.67%)	61(81.33%)	75(100%)
Odds ratio for sex (Female/Male)	Value	95% CI	Value	95% CI	
	1.521	0.532- 4.348	1.438	0.421-4.910	
Risk estimate for male	0.740	0.344-1.595	0.780	0.347-1.755	
Risk estimate for female	1.126	0.845- 1.500	1.122	0.737-1.708	

among mixed breed 37/75 rated (49.33%) versus 9/75,(12%) for Awassi, on the other hand, infection with MRSA was reported only among mixed breed, 14/75, (18.66%).

#### B- Breeds:

There was two main breeds, As shown in table (3), *S. aureus* and MRSA infections were concentrated

**Table (3): Sheep Breed As A Risk Factor For Infection With *S. aureus* and MRSA.**

Breed	Type Of Isolates From Skin Lesions Of Sheep				
	<i>S. aureus</i>		MRSA		Total
	Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative	
Awassi	9(12%)	6(8%)	0(0%)	15(20%)	15(20%)
Mixed	37(49.33%)	23(30.67%)	14(18.66%)	46(61.33%)	60(80%)
Total	46(61.33%)	29(38.67%)	14(18.67%)	61(81.33%)	75(100%)
$\chi^2$	0.014		4.303		
P value	0.906		0.038		
R	0.014		0.240		



P value	0.907	0.038
Odds Ratio for breed (Awassi / Mixed)	Value 1.072	95% CI 0.337-3.410
Risk estimate for Awassi	Value 1.057	95% CI 0.420-2.660
Risk estimate for Mixed	Value 0.986	95% CI 0.780-1.246

Neither Significant difference ( $\chi^2=0.014$ ; p value =0.906), nor correlation ( $R= 0.014$ ; p-value =0.907) reported between breed via *S. aureus* infections among sheep, Significant difference ( $\chi^2=4.303$ ; P value = 0.038), Correlation ( $R = 0.240$ ; p-value = 0.038) reported amid breed and MRSA infections on sheep, probability of breed (Awassi / Mixed), for infection with *S. aureus* or (odds ratio) was 1.072 time, Awassi risk estimate to get infection with *S. aureus* was (1.057) time compared with (0.986) for mixed breed, risk estimate for mixed breed to get infection with MRSA was (0.745) time.

#### C- Flock size:

As shown in table (4), *S. aureus* and MRSA infections were concentrated among small flock size 34/75 rated (30.67%) versus 11/75, (14.67%), also infection with *S. aureus* and MRSA reported among large flock size, 12/75, (16%) versus 3/75, (4%). Neither significant difference ( $\chi^2=0.216$ ; p value =0.642), non-correlation ( $R= -0.054$ ; p value =0.647) reported between flock size and *S. aureus* infections among sheep. Also Neither significant difference ( $\chi^2=0.369$ ; P value = 0.544) non-correlation ( $R= - 0.070$ ; P-value = 0.550) reported in the middle of

flock size via MRSA infections among sheep. Probability of flock size (small/ large), for infection with *S. aureus* or (odds ratio) was 0.784 time compared with (0.652) for MRSA. Risk estimate for small size flock to get infection with *S. aureus* was (0.933) time compared with (1.190) for large size flock, risk estimate for small size flock to infect with MRSA was (0.897) time compared (1.377) for large size flock.

**Table (4): Flock Size As A Risk Factor For Infection With *S. aureus* and MRSA among sheep**

$\chi^2$	0.216
P value	0.642
R	-0.054
P value	0.647
Odds Ratio for size flock (small/ large)	Value 0.784 95% CI 0.281-2.187
Risk estimate for small size flock	0.933 0.692-1.258
Risk estimate for large size flock	1.190 0.574-2.465

**D-Season:**

As shown in table (5), *S. aureus* and MRSA infections were concentrated in autumn 35/75, (46.67%) versus 10/75, (10.67%), although infection with *S. aureus* and MRSA reported in winter, 11/75, (14.66%) versus 6/75, (8%), Neither significant difference ( $\chi^2=0.461$ ; p value =0.497), nor

Flock size	Type Of Isolates From Skin Lesions Of Sheep				p-value
	S. aureus		MRSA		
	Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative	Total
Small	34(30.67%)	20(26.67%)	11(14.67%)	43(57.33%)	54(72%)
Large	12(16%)	9(12%)	3(4%)	18(24%)	21(28%)
Total	46(61.33%)	29(38.67%)	14(18.67%)	61(81.33%)	75(100%)

Neither significant difference ( $\chi^2=2.107$ ; p value = 0.129) non-correlation ( $R=-0.175$ ; p-value = 0.504) reported amongst Season via *S. aureus* infections in sheep, MRSA infections in sheep, difference ( $\chi^2=0.175$ ; p-value = 0.678) non-correlation ( $R=-0.175$ ; p-value = 0.504) reported amongst Season via MRSA infections in sheep.

0.132) reported amongst Season via MRSA infections in sheep.

**Table (5):Season as infection risk factor with *S. aureus* and MRSA in sheep**

Season	Type Of Isolates From Skin Lesions Of Sheep				
	<i>S. aureus</i> Positive	<i>S. aureus</i> Negative	MRSA Positive	MRSA Negative	Total
Winter	11(14.66%)	9(12%)	6(8%)	14(18.67%)	20(26.67%)
Autumn	35(46.67%)	20(26.67%)	8(10.67%)	47(62.67%)	55(73.33%)
Total	46(61.33%)	29(38.67%)	14(18.67%)	61(81.33%)	75(100%)
$\chi^2$	0.461				
P value	0.497				
R	0.078				
P value	0.504				
Odds Ratio for Season (Winter / Autumn)	Value	95% CI	Value	95% CI	
	1.432	0.507 - 4.044	0.397	0.118- 1.339	
Risk estimate for winter	1.298	0.614- 2.743	0.536	0.250- 1.145	
Risk estimate for Autumn	0.906	0.676- 1.215	1.348	0.839- 2.166	

The probability for infection with *S. aureus* in certain Season (winter / autumn), or (odds ratio) was

1.432time compared with (0.397) for MRSA, risk estimate to get infection with *S. aureus* in winter was (1.298) time compared with (0.906) for autumn, risk estimate to get infection

with MRSA in winter was (0.536) time compared with (1.348) for autumn. As table (6) shown, *S. aureus* infections reported in 16/75, (21.33%) of sheep wherever new sheep were introduce in to the flock versus 30 /75, (40%) of infection that reported without any renew for the original flock. Although, infection with MRSA reported in 11/75, (14.66%) of sheep, wherever new sheep introduce in to the flock versus 11/75, (14.66%)

of infection that reported without any renew for the original flock, Neither significant difference ( $\chi^2=2.715$ ; p value =0.099), non-correlation (R= -



0.190; p-value =0.102) reported flanked by introducing new sheep to the flock and *S. aureus* infections among sheep. Neither significant difference ( $\chi^2=0.369$ ; P value = 0.544), non-correlation (R= -0.070; p-value = 0.550) reported amid introducing new sheep to the flock and MRSA infections among sheep, probability for infection with *S. aureus* due to introducing of new sheep to the flock or (odds ratio) was 0.391 time compared (1.535) for MRSA. Risk estimate to get infection with *S. aureus* due to introducing of new sheep to the flock was (0.496) time compared (1.269) for infections without renewing of the original flock. On other hand, risk estimate to get infection with MRSA due to introducing of new sheep to the flock was (1.377) time compared (0.897) for infections without renewing original flock.

**Table (6): Renewing sheep flock as risk factor for *S. aureus*, MRSA infections**

Introducing of new sheep to the flock	Type Of Isolation	
	<i>S. aureus</i> Positive	Negative
Yes	16(21.33%)	5(6.66%)
No	30(40%)	24(32%)
Total	46(61.33%)	29(38.66%)
$\chi^2$	2.715	
P value	0.099	
R	-0.190	
P value	0.102	
Odds Ratio for Introducing of new sheep to the flock (yes/ no )	Value 0.391	95% CI 0.125-1.220
Risk estimate for Introducing of new sheep to the flock =yes	0.496	0.204-1.207
Risk estimate for Introducing of new sheep to the flock =NO	1.269	0.970-1.660



## F-Wounds:

As shown in table (7), sheep wounds suffered 24/75, (32%), *S. aureus* was isolated from wounds 14/75, (18.67%). MRSA recovered from 6/75, (8%) of wounds cases too, probability for infection with *S. aureus* due to wounds or (odds ratio) was 0.831time, the risk estimate to get infection with *S. aureus* due to wounds was (1.133) time compared with (0.942) intact skin the risk estimate to get infection with MRSA for sheep suffered from wounds was (0.689) time compared with (1.234) for infection that reported among sheep with intact skin.

**Table (7):Sheep wounds as a risk factor for infection with *S. aureus*, MRSA**

Wounds	<i>S. aureus</i>		
	Positive	Negative	Positiv
No	32(42.67%)	19(25.33%)	8((10.67%)
Yes	14(18.67%)	10(13.33%)	6(8%)
Total	46(61.33%)	29(38.67%)	14(18.67%)
Odds ratio for wound	Value	95% CI	Value
(No / yes )	0.831	0.309-2.238	1.79
Risk estimate for wound =yes	1.133	0.583-2.204	0.6
Risk estimate for wound =No	0.942	0.680-1.305	1.23

## G- Abscess:

As shown in table (8), 22/75, (29.33%) sheep were suffered from Abscess *S. aureus* was isolated from 20/75, (26.67%) with Abscess. MRSA was recovered from 5/75, (6.67%) of abscess cases, the probability for infection with *S. aureus* due

Type Of Skin Lesion	Type Of Isolates From Skin Lesions Of Sheep
---------------------	---

to Abscess or (odds ratio) was 10.385 time. The risk estimate to get infection with *S. aureus* due to Abscess was (0.159) time compared with (1.647) intact skin, the risk estimate to get infection with MRSA for sheep suffered from abscess was (0.780) time compared with (1.122) for infection that reported among sheep with intact skin.

scs	10.385	2.204-48.932
(No / yes )		
Risk estimate for Abscess =yes	0.159	0.040-0.629
Risk estimate for Abscess =No	1.647	1.255-2.162

**Table (8):Sheep abscess as infection risk factor with *S. aureus* and MRSA.**

Type Of Skin Lesion	Type Of Isolates From Skin Lesions Of Sheep				
Abscess	<i>S. aureus</i>		MRSA		Total
	Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative	
No	26(34.67%)	27(36%)	9(12%)	44(58.67%)	53(70.67%)
Yes	20(26.67%)	2(2.67%)	5(6.67%)	17(22.67%)	22(29.33%)
Total	46(61.33%)	29(38.67%)	14(18.67%)	61(81.33%)	75(100%)
Odds ratio for Ab-	Value	95% CI	Value	95% CI	

#### H- Dermatitis:

As shown in table (9), 17 /75, (22.67%) sheep were suffered from dermatitis *S. aureus* was isolated from 11/75, (14.67%) with dermatitis. MRSA was recovered from 2/75, (2.67%) of dermatitis cases. The probability for infection with *S. aureus* due to dermatitis (No / yes) or (odds ratio) was 1.205 time compared with (0.511) for MRSA, risk estimate to get infection with *S. aureus* due to dermatitis was (0.865) time compared with (1.042) healthy skin, while risk estimate to get infection with MRSA for sheep suffered from dermatitis

was (1.721) time compared with (0.880) for infection that reported among sheep with intact skin.

<b>Risk estimate for Dermatitis =yes</b>	<b>0.865</b>	<b>0.359-2.085</b>	<b>1.721</b>
<b>Risk estimate for Dermatitis =No</b>	<b>1.042</b>	<b>0.815-1.334</b>	<b>0.880</b>

**Table (9); Sheep Dermatitis as a risk factor for infection with *S. aureus* and MRSA**

Type Of Skin Lesion	Type Of Isolates From Skin Lesions Of Sheep				Total	
Dermatitis	<i>S. aureus</i>		MRSA		Total	
	Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative	S. aureus	MRSA
No	35(46.67%)	23(30.67%)	12(16%)	46(61.33%)	58(77.33%)	58(77.33%)
Yes	11(14.67%)	6(8%)	2(2.67%)	15(20%)	17(22.67%)	17(22.67%)
Total	46(61.33%)	29(38.67%)	14(18.67%)	61(81.33%)	75(100%)	75(100%)
Odds ratio for Dermatitis (No / yes )	Value	95% CI	Value	95% CI		
	1.205	0.391-3.712	0.511	0.103-2.548		

**I- Abrasion:** As shown in table (10), 12 /75, (16%) sheep were suffered from abrasions, *S. aureus* was isolated from 1 /75, (1.33%) with abrasions. MRSA was recovered from 1/75,

(1.33%) of abrasions cases, the probability for infection due to abrasions (No/ yes) or (odds ratios) was 0.36 time compared with (0.350) for MRSA, the risk estimate to infection with *S. aureus* due to abrasions was (17.448) time compared with (0.634) healthy skin,

the risk estimate to get infection with MRSA for sheep suffered from abrasions was (2.525) time compared with (0.883) for infection that reported among sheep with intact skin.

**Table (10): Sheep Abrasion as a risk factor of infection with *S. aureus* and MRSA in**

Type Of Skin Lesion	Type Of Isolates From Skin Lesions Of Sheep			
	<i>S. aureus</i>		MRSA	
Abrasions	Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative
No	45(60%)	18(24%)	13(17.33%)	50(66.67%)
Yes	1(1.33%)	11(14.67%)	1(1.33%)	11(14.67%)
Total	46(61.33%)	29(38.67%)	14(18.67%)	61(81.33%)
Odds ratio for Abrasions (No / yes )	Value	95% CI	Value	95% CI
Risk estimate for Abrasions =yes	17.448	2.376-128.112	2.525	0.355-17.977

**Risk estimate for Abrasions =No** **0.634** **0.476-0.846**

### Discussion:

Samples collected from Kanaan, Baladruze, Baqubah and Buhruz region, this area flat land, same soil contains, extension unity, no natural barriers except main Diyala river, no hills or mountains represent same tail end of river. oil refineries or big factories which might contaminate atmosphere with carbon mono or dioxides, no mine prospecting, and same fall ratios, located middle east extent to south part of Diyala Province. Methods of breeding, housing and feeding domesticated animals vary from species to species and differ according to custom and accordance with geographical and climatic conditions[31]. Current study revealed that



*S. aureus* rates of skin infection among sheep was 61.33%, rates of MRSA was 30.43 %, by means of traditional biological tests include; MSA which isolate, selects and differentiated; G positive cluster like coccid positive for Coagulase, Catalase DNase tests respectively according to [32, 33] then confirmed by Vittek2 system which goes with [34, 35] later conventional PCR by using *S. aureus* 23sRNA gene sequence specific primer (staur4,6)[36, 37] . whereas MRSA was 14/46, rated (30.43%) among positive isolates representing (18.67%) from total samples 14/75 according to methicillin resistance via Muller Hinton medium depending on [38, 39] placed by results of conventional PCR using *S. aureus mecA* gene [5, 40].

Infection rates varied around the world, while In north-western Greece[41] found that out of 367 samples tested, 57.8% were *S. aureus*

and only 3% MRSA positive, although in Bangladesh the rate was higher for *S. aureus*, 70% [31]. In Italy [42] recorded that infection rate of *S. aureus* among dairy sheep farms was 53.5% and 7% for MRSA among the hall flock. French farms study showed nasal carriage of *S. aureus* in 29% of dairy ewes[43] . In Norway [44] recoded *S. aureus* was (32.6%) in sheep. In Morocco similar study [45] revels low in sheep (9.97%) These variations might be due to differences in sample size, isolation techniques, awareness and skills of the farm workers, geographic regions and variation in study subjects, the different in management system used by the farm, types of sample, diagnostic test.

Sex factor recorded *S. aureus* infections among sheep female was in (41.33%), MRSA (12%), non-significant higher than male (20%), MRSA (6.66%), thought to be exposing to heavily impacts; manually milk

lactation twice a day for the breeder's benefits, extended udders, suckling lambs, periodic mastitis, parturitions or recurrent abortions, raises the infection ratio, which goes with [7] whom explains correlation between *S. aureus*, MRSA and sheep sex, also [7] assumed that other factors associated with higher incidences include males which is unlikely to be a major factor. Infections among males were higher, it might be regarded to the source of collected samples [46]. In current study although there was no significant correlation between sheep sex and infection with *S. aureus* and MRSA, the possible explanation for these results may attribute to the role of sex hormones in modulation of immune response and susceptibility for infection among males and females. Steroid hormones have important role in regulates skin physiology and immunity, skin architecture, thickness of dermis and epidermis

layers [47, 48] Estrogens associated immune-enhancement while androgens with immunosuppression [7, 49] While Estrogens play a protective role against *S. aureus* derma necrosis. On the other hand, female-biased transcriptomic signature in the skin that is independent of sex steroid levels have the possibility for increase the rate of infection among females [50].

In current study, no correlation was reported between breed and *S. aureus* infections among sheep. Significant correlation was reported between breed and MRSA infections, probability of breed (Awassi / Mixed), to infect with *S. aureus* was (1.072) time, risk estimate for Awassi to infect by *S. aureus* was (1.057) time compared with (0.986) for mixed breed, Risk estimate for mixed breed to infect with MRSA was (0.745) time, these results may have attributed to the popularity of Awassi among

submitted flocks, in Arabia Saudi [46] reports Najdi breed infected rates (22.4 %) followed by the Sakni breed (20%) then Naimi breed (4.8 %), he pointed that some sheep breeds were found to be more susceptible to the disease than others, also in Italy [42] report Marino breed being highest, [51] claimed that race effects on resistant to infection was genetically.

No significant correlation was reported between flock size and *S. aureus*, MRSA infections among sheep. The probability of small flock size versus large flock size for infection with *S. aureus* was (0.784) time compared with (0.652) for MRSA.

In Italy [6], claimed that emergence of livestock associated MRSA correlate with farm size, and animal trading spread LA-MRSA inside, she concludes that picture of MRSA transmission among sheep farmers doesn't seem critical, she recommended to improve adequate control

measures to prevent and minimize any biological risk for both human and animal health, despite her suggests, results might tell more effect factors about unlashd *S. aureus* and MRSA high rated infections. Likewise, flock irregular management, external parasite treatments, dipping, chemical spraying, and wool shearing; also *S. aureus* affected 53.33% in semi-intensive farm animal and 66.67% in intensive farm [31] also [52] pointed that LA-MRSA was higher in larger farms than in smaller farms (34% versus 7%, respectively). Thus [53] propose that risk of outbreak correlate and increased with larger flock size especially flocks with a lambing percentage greater than 200.

Season risk estimation to get infection with *S. aureus* in winter was higher compared with autumn, while risk estimation to get infection with MRSA in winter was lesser compared with autumn, that might be parallel to



early birth season, lamb feeding, decreasing in temperature, weather changing, hours of a day lighting, starting new agricultural cycle seasons to planting by the end of summer season where is green leaves turned to dryness. Although [54] resume spring and autumn were the most popular seasons for CA-MRSA isolates, while HA-MRSA infection often occurred in summer and winter, hence [53] give a warning to Norwegian sheep flocks farmers about winter housing risks of Staphylococcal infectious arthritis.

The risk estimation to get infection with *S. aureus* due to introducing new sheep to flock was (0.496) time compared with out renewing of the original flock (1.269) time, (Mascaro, et al.2019) in Italy claimed that emergence of LA-MRSA increase through animal trading which spread LA-MRSA inside, also [55] in Sudan confirmed that.

Wound risk estimation to get infection with *S. aureus* due to wounds was (1.133) time compare with intact skin was (0.942) time, while risk estimation to get infection with MRSA higher. On the other hand [31] explain that all kinds of wounds on skin and hides usually occur due to breach with very sharp instruments, imperfect brand marks, rubbing against coarse surfaces or incisions made by doctors during surgical operations, get contaminated by a big population of bacterial flora such as pyogenic bacteria especially *S. aureus*, *Streptococcus pyogenes*, and Coliform group together with *Proteus vulgaris welchii* type A, *Clostridium septicum*, *Clostridium diphtheriae*, cause damage to the qualities of leather which reflect in sense of losses to the leather industries, economy in addition being life threatening. Young lambs early ear tagging associated with an increased



risk of outbreak due to ear wounds increase the infection rats 5.6% [53].

**Wounds** is circumstances sporadic event, needs sharp object to induce wounds later contamination take a place, but massive occurrences related to season of wool shear, sexual meeting season where rams get horn fights also spring - summer period companied with external parasites (ticks, mosquitos, screw warms, lice and mites), Surgical operation, needles penetration, dehorning.

Abscess led to huge economic losses in sheep industry during Haj season[46] with high mortalities. prevalence of abscess disease varied between 5%– 44.1% in sheep farms, highest in the Najdi breed, Abscess forming 25.77 % were due to *S. aureus* disease which is a worldwide contagious disease of sheep, adversely affecting the development of the sheep industry known as Morel's, disease and caseous lymphadenitis, con-

tagious nature, worldwide distribution, occurred around the time of shearing. On the other hand , [55] stated that many Sudanese shipments of export sheep were rejected by Saudi Arabia on the grounds of this disease, economic losses of each amounted millions of US dollars. In current study, abscess with *S. aureus* infection rated (26.67%), MRSA (6.67%), in Sudan [55] claimed normally encountered in lambs between 4 and 10 months of age and is characterized by the formation of abscesses in or adjacent to superficial lymph nodes, *S. aureus* was isolated in pure cultures samples (43.79%), In Arabia Saudi[46] reports infection rates (12.37 %) among infected sheep with abscess.

**Dermatitis** risk estimation to get infection with *S. aureus* was (0.865) time compare with healthy skin was (1.042) time, while risk estimation to get infection with MRSA due to

Dermatitis was (1.721) time compare with healthy skin was (0.880) time. On the other hand [56] described dermatitis as a primary disease caused by *S. aureus* in sheep skin, also causes acute gangrenous mastitis before and after parturition which is highly persistent during lactation in ewes and lambs that nurse on milk or colostrum from a doe with acute mastitis can develop staphylococcal dermatitis, ovine facial staphylococcal dermatitis is mainly localized around the ocular area of adult sheep and tends to be seasonal, Sucking flies seem to be a potential seasonal factor of facial staphylococcal dermatitis, expanding the lesions and spreading diseases within a flock. An epidemiological study revealed that more than 50% were *S. aureus* positive during the summer season, which can turned to ovine necrotic dermatitis, that often occurs on the legs and above the lips, with potential advancing to be another

skin disease called contagious ovine digital dermatitis. Dermatitis with *S. aureus* infection rated (14.67%), MRSA (2.67%), thought that even internal parasites could play a role, in Norwegian Veterinary Institute. On the other hand [44] recorded *S. aureus* samples from Dermatitis body swab (32.6%) despite lambs (58.2%).

Abrasions risk estimation to get infection with *S. aureus* due to abrasions was (17.448) time far away to compare with healthy skin which was (0.634) time, while risk estimation to get infection with MRSA due to Abrasions was (2.525) time compare with healthy skin was (0.883) time, [57] explained that *S. aureus* dermatitis typically involves trauma previously due to the close contact of heads over feeding troughs and abrasive plants at pasture may be predisposing factors, although its riskiness, multiple exposures might thickened

the skin area, alarmed the immune system, and twist the wool strata.

While abrasions *S. aureus* infection rated (1.33%), MRSA (1.33%). that goes with[58] mention increasing risk factors were skin abrasions or wounds, contacts, overweight or obesity, antibiotic use within 6 months, overcrowding during feeding or housing with another animals like cattle.

### Conclusions:

Ewes have greater risk to get infection with *S. aureus* and MRSA .

Significant correlation was reported between infection with MRSA and breed of sheep. Awassi have greater risk for infection with *S. aureus* . No significant correlation was reported between the flock sizes, the season, introducing of new sheep to the flock, wounds, dermatitis and *S. aureus*, MRSA infections among sheep, while Sheep *S.aureus* skin infection correlated significantly with abscess and abrasions.

### References:

1. Madhaiyan M, Wirth JS, Saravanan VS. Phylogenomic analyses of the Staphylococcaceae family suggest the reclassification of five species within the genus *Staphylococcus* as heterotypic synonyms, the promotion of five subspecies to novel species, the taxonomic reassignment of five *Staphylococcus* species to *Mammaliococcus* gen. nov., and the formal assignment of *Nosocomiicoccus* to the family Staphylococcaceae. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*. 2020;70(11):5926-36.
2. Gnanamani A, Hariharan P, Paul-Satyaseela M. *Staphylococcus aureus*: Overview of bacteriology, clinical diseases, epidemiology, antibiotic resistance and therapeutic approach. *Frontiers in Staphylococcus aureus*. 2017;4(28):10.5772.
3. Gurusamy KS, Koti R, Toon CD, Wilson P, Davidson BR. Antibiotic therapy for the treatment of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) infections in surgical wounds. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2013(8).
4. Rasheed NA, Hussein NR. *Staphylococcus aureus*: An Overview of Discovery, Characteristics, Epidemiology, Virulence Factors and Antimicrobial Sensitivity. *European Journal of Molecular & Clinical Medicine*. 2021;8(3):1160-83.
5. Stegger á, Andersen P, Kearns A, Pichon B, Holmes M, Edwards G, et al. Rapid detection, differentiation and typing of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus*



- aureus harbouring either *mecA* or the new *mecA* homologue *mecALGA251*. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*. 2012;18(4):395-400.
6. Mascaro V, Squillace L, Nobile CG, Papadopoli R, Bosch T, Schouls LM, et al. Prevalence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) carriage and pattern of antibiotic resistance among sheep farmers from Southern Italy. *Infection and Drug Resistance*. 2019;12:2561.
  7. Castleman MJ, Pokhrel S, Triplett KD, Kusewitt DF, Elmore BO, Joyner JA, et al. Innate sex bias of *Staphylococcus aureus* skin infection is driven by  $\alpha$ -hemolysin. *The Journal of Immunology*. 2018;200(2):657-68.
  8. Buathong P, Leelaruij W, Sojisuporn P, Wattayakorn G, Chulalaksanankul W. Occurrence And Distribution Of *Staphylococcus Aureus* And Coliform Bacteria In The Inner Gulf Of Thailand. *European Chemical Bulletin*. 2014;3(3):254-8.
  9. Algammal AM, Hetta HF, Elkelish A, Alkhalifah DHH, Hozzein WN, Batiha GE-S, et al. Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA): one health perspective approach to the bacterium epidemiology, virulence factors, antibiotic-resistance, and zoonotic impact. *Infection and Drug Resistance*. 2020;13:3255.
  10. AL-Ezzy A, Abdulameer S. Correlation between *Aspergillus fumigatus* Isolated From Mouth , Nose and Ear of Hunting Dogs and Unusual Clinical Manifestations. *Diyala Journal for Veterinary sciences*. 2021;1(2):21-33.
  11. AL-Ezzy A, Abdulameer S. Phenotypic Identification And Molecular Characterization Of Gliotoxin producing *Aspergillus fumigatus* Isolated From Hunters With Special Emphasis To Clinical Manifestations and .... *Diyala Journal for Veterinary sciences*. 2021;1(2):34-48.
  12. AL-Ezzy A. Molecular Diagnostic Approaches For SARS-COV2. *Diyala Journal for Veterinary sciences*. 2021;1(2):10-20.
  13. Al-Khalidi A, Al-Ezzy A, Hameed M. Correlation Between Aspergillosis And Renal Function Profile Analysis In Broilers Of Diyala Province -Iraq. *Diyala Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2018;10:177-93.
  14. Al-Ezzy A, Khazzal S, Qasim A. Isolation of *Proteus mirabilis* from urinary tract infections of human and ovine in Baqubah-Diyala Province. *Diyala Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2018:339-47.
  15. Al-Ezzy A. Immunopathological and Modulatory Effects of Cag A+ Genotype on Gastric Mucosa, Inflammatory Response, Pepsinogens, and Gastrin-17 Secretion in Iraqi Patients infected with H .... *Open Access Maced J Med Sci*. 2018;6.
  16. Al-Ezzy AIA. Isolation Of *Malassezia Furfur* And Evaluation Of Ivermectin And Calvatia Craniiformis As A Novel Antifungal Agents For Pityriasis Versicolor With Special Refer To Risk Factors .... *IJCPR*. 2017;8(4):311-9.
  17. Humadi A, AL-Ezzy A, Mohammed A. Role Of Acrylonitrile Toxicity In Lung of Albino Male Rats. *Diyala Journal for Veterinary sciences*. 2021;1(2):93-9.



18. Hameed M, AL-Ezzy A, Jalil W, Al-Khalidi A. Physiological Protective Effects of Ascorbic acid Versus d-l- $\alpha$ -tocopheryl acetate -Sodium Selenite Combination in Mice under experimental Sodium Nitrate .... biochemical and cellular archives. 2020;20(1).
19. Al-Khalidi MAAH, AL-Ezzy A. Effect Of Drinking Water Quality On physiological Blood Parameters And Performance Of Laying Hens In Diyala province-Iraq. Biochemical and Cellular Archives. 2020;20(1):2649-54.
20. Al-Khalidi A, Hameed M, Al-Ezzy A. Effects Of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* As Probiotic On Blood Indices ,Humoral Immunity and Performance Of Isa Brown Laying Hens In Diyala Province-Iraq. Biochemical and Cellular Archives. 2020;20(1).
21. Akram Ahmed Hassan EJK, Al-Ezzy, Ali Ibrahim Ali, MS Hameed. Correlation Between Aspergillosis And Liver Function Profile Analysis In Broiler. Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences 8 (5 .... 2017;8(5):432-42.
22. Al-Ezzy A. Evaluation of the Performance of Melia Azedarach for skin wound healing in donkeys: clinical and histopathological study. AJPCT. 2015;3:1-9.
23. Al-Ezzy A. Hematological Changes Associated with Gastrointestinal Parasites Infection in Domestic Animals attended to Outpatient Clinic of Faculty of Veterinary Medicine of Diyala .... International journal of innovation and applied studies. 2014;9(3):1266-.
24. Al-Ezzy A. Clinical, Epidemiological And Laboratory Investigations Of Mange infestation In Sheep In Khalis City-Diyala Province In Iraq. Biotechnology International. 2014;8(1):1-10.
25. Awad AK, Al-Ezzy AIA, Jameel GH. Phenotypic Identification and Molecular Characterization of *Malassezia* spp. isolated from Pityriasis versicolor patients with special emphasis to risk factors in Diyala province, Iraq. Open access Macedonian journal of medical sciences. 2019;7(5):707.
26. AL-Ezzy AIA. In Situ Nick End Labeling as a Molecular Immunopathological Indicator for the Severity of DNA Fragmentation and Gastrointestinal Tissue Damage among *H. Pylori* Cag A Positive Patients. Indian Journal of Science and Technology. 2016;9(2).
27. AL-Ezzy AIA, Kadhim AT. Comprehensive Evaluation For The Life Style And Zoonotic Risk Factors Associated With *Cryptosporidium Parvum* Infection In Children Under Five Years. Diyala Journal For Veterinary Sciences. 2021;1(2):77-92.
28. AL-Ezzy AIA. Chromotrope Gram Hot And Giemsa Staining Techniques As Alternatives For Ziehl-Neelsen Hot Stains For Detection Of *C. Parvum* Infection In Children And Calves. Diyala Journal for Veterinary Sciences. 2021;1(3):100-11.
29. Al-Ezzy AIA, Kadhim AT. Evaluation For sociodemographic Risk Factors associated with *Cryptosporidium Parvum* Infection In Children under Five

years. Diyala Journal For Veterinary Sciences. 2021;1(2):100-13.

30. Jameel GH, Al-Ezzy AIA. Evaluation of Antifungal Activity of Calvatia craniiformis and Ivermectin as Novel Alternative Therapies for Aspergillus niger Associated Acute Otitis Media with Special Refer to Socio Demographic Factors Among Rural Children of Diyala Province-Iraq. International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research. 2017;9(8):581-9.

31. Saha TK, Begum F, Kabir SL, Islam MS, Khan MSR. Characterization of bacterial isolates from skin lesions of sheep, goat and cattle in different rearing condition. Asian Journal of Medical and Biological Research. 2019;5(2):117-25.

32. Abubaker NS, Alythi AG. The Presence Of Mec A Gene In Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus Strains (Mrsa) Isolated From Surfaces Of Plants In Al-Beida Hospital Garden. European Journal Of Pharmaceutical And Medical Research. 2021;8(3):5-9.

33. Anderson C, Johnson T, Case C, Cappuccino J, Sherman N. Great adventures in the microbiology laboratory. Pearson California, USA; 2013.

34. Alzolibani AA, Al Robaee AA, Al Shobaili HA, Bilal JA, Ahmad MI, Saif GB. Documentation of vancomycin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (VRSA) among children with atopic dermatitis in the Qassim region, Saudi Arabia. Acta Dermatovenerol Alp Pannonica Adriat. 2012;21(3):51-3.

35. Abbas YA, Radhi GF. Rapid Identification Of Enterobacter Spp. Islated From Hospitals In Basrah Province By Automated System (Vitek® 2 Compact).

36. Sheela GM. Study of pathogenic factors of Staphylococcus aureus from clinical cases of livestock and poultry. 2017.

37. Straub JA, Hertel C, Hammes WP. A 23S rDNA-targeted polymerase chain reaction-based system for detection of Staphylococcus aureus in meat starter cultures and dairy products. Journal of food protection. 1999;62(10):1150-6.

38. Azmi K, Qrei W, Abdeen Z. Screening of genes encoding adhesion factors and biofilm production in methicillin resistant strains of Staphylococcus aureus isolated from Palestinian patients. BMC genomics. 2019;20(1):1-12.

39. Gitman MR, Alburquerque B, Chung M, van de Guchte A, Sullivan MJ, Obla A, et al. Modified methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus detected in neonatal intensive care patients. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. 2021;76(11):2774-7.

40. Rasheed NA, Hussein NR. Characterization of different virulent factors in methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus isolates recovered from Iraqis and Syrian refugees in Duhok city, Iraq. PloS one. 2020;15(8):e0237714.

41. Papadopoulos P, Papadopoulos T, Angelidis AS, Boukouvala E, Zdragas A, Papa A, et al. Prevalence of Staphylococcus aureus and of methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) along the production chain of dairy

- products in north-western Greece. Food microbiology. 2018;69:43-50.
42. Giacinti G, Carfora V, Caprioli A, Sagrafoli D, Marri N, Giangolini G, et al. Prevalence and characterization of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* carrying *mecA* or *mecC* and methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* in dairy sheep farms in central Italy. Journal of dairy science. 2017;100(10):7857-63.
  43. Roccaro M, Piva S, Scagliarini A, Giacometti F, Serraino A, Merialdi G, et al. Case report of a pustular dermatitis outbreak in sheep: Clinical and food safety considerations. Italian journal of food safety. 2018;7(1).
  44. Mørk T, Kvitle B, Jørgensen H. Reservoirs of *Staphylococcus aureus* in meat sheep and dairy cattle. Veterinary Microbiology. 2012;155(1):81-7.
  45. Mourabit N, Arakrak A, Bakkali M, Zian Z, Bakkach J, Laglaoui A. Nasal carriage of *Staphylococcus aureus* in farm animals and breeders in North of Morocco. BMC infectious diseases. 2020;20(1):1-6.
  46. Al-Harbi KB. Prevalence and etiology of abscess disease of sheep and goats at Qassim region, Saudi Arabia. Veterinary world. 2011;4(11):495.
  47. Nestle FO, Di Meglio P, Qin J-Z, Nickoloff BJ. Skin immune sentinels in health and disease. Nature Reviews Immunology. 2009;9(10):679-91.
  48. Zhang C, Merana GR, Harris-Tryon T, Scharschmidt TC. Skin immunity: dissecting the complex biology of our body's outer barrier. Mucosal Immunology. 2022:1-11.
  49. Klein SL, Flanagan KL. Sex differences in immune responses. Nature Reviews Immunology. 2016;16(10):626-38.
  50. Roved J, Westerdahl H, Hasselquist D. Sex differences in immune responses: Hormonal effects, antagonistic selection, and evolutionary consequences. Hormones and Behavior. 2017;88:95-105.
  51. Lozano C, Gharsa H, Ben Slama K, Zarazaga M, Torres C. *Staphylococcus aureus* in animals and food: methicillin resistance, prevalence and population structure. A review in the African continent. Microorganisms. 2016;4(1):12.
  52. Lakhundi S, Zhang K. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: molecular characterization, evolution, and epidemiology. Clinical microbiology reviews. 2018;31(4):e00020-18.
  53. Smistad M, Wolff C, Tollersrud T, Tømmerberg V, Phythian C, Kampen AH, et al. Flock-level risk factors for outbreaks of infectious arthritis in lambs, Norway 2018. Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica. 2020;62(1):1-11.
  54. Xie X, Bao Y, Ouyang N, Dai X, Pan K, Chen B, et al. Molecular epidemiology and characteristic of virulence gene of community-acquired and hospital-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates in Sun Yat-sen Memorial hospital, Guangzhou, Southern China. BMC infectious diseases. 2016;16(1):1-10.
  55. Musa NO, Babiker A, Eltom K, Rodwan K, El Sanousi SM. Prevalence of *Staphylococcus aureus* subsp. *Anaerobius* in sub-clinical abscess cases of sheep. British



Microbiology Research Journal.  
2012;2(3):131.

56. Park S, Ronholm J. Staphylococcus aureus in agriculture: lessons in evolution from a multispecies pathogen. Clinical Microbiology Reviews. 2021;34(2):e00182-20.

57. Foster AP. Staphylococcal skin disease in livestock. Veterinary dermatology. 2012;23(4):342-51, e63.

58. Early GJ, Seifried SE. Risk factors for community-associated Staphylococcus aureus skin infection in children of Maui. Hawai'i Journal of Medicine & Public Health. 2012;71(8):218.

