

# Detection of pseudomonas Contamination in Milk and some dairy products in Diyala Province

## Walaa Mahmood Mohamed

College of Veterinary Medicine – University of Diyala, public health department, Iraq amzynbalzway@gmail.com

received: 10-4-2023 Accepted: 12-6-2023 Published: 1-7-2023

for Veter:

Abstract:

**Background**: Food putrefaction is a critical topic strongly influencing the global require to counteract food instability. Despite the costly use little temperatures, correct preservation for not old dairy yields is constantly endangered on various phases of manufacturing and commercialization via psychotrophic bacteria mostly according to the genus Pseudomonas

**Aims:** To investigate pseudomonas contamination of milk and dairy products **Methods:** Sixty samples were collected randomly from Diyala province, 10 sample for each product. Samples were cultivated to attain non -fermented bacteria on MacConkey agar, and were then tested using the oxidase reagent for confirmation .After that, a sensitivity test was conducted using antibiotic disk to determine their susceptibility .

**Results :** The maximum percentage of isolate was 80% gained from raw milk, 40 % obtained from soft cheese then 20% from each pasteurized milk and salt cheese ,whereas all cream sample not displayed any contamination with pseudomonas . The highest Antimicrobial activity was (30.5 mm)for Meropenem ,(20.5 mm ) for Amikacin ,(18mm)for Gentamycin ,finally 8mm for Erythromycin .

**Conclusion :** The high prevalence of *P. aeruginosa* was located in raw milk. 2-The highest sensitivity was against Meropenem while the less sensitivity was against Erythromycin. presence of heat stable enzymes produced by *Pseudomonas* spp., that effect on milk and its products like bad odor, bitter taste, and also affect texture represent another source of contamination additionally to presence viable cells of *Pseudomonas* spp. . Contamination of milk with prevalence psychrotrophic microbial contamination post pasteurization is consider a latent difficult.

Key word :pseudomonas; dairy products; spoilage



This is an open access article licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-

NonCommercial 4.0 International License. https://doi.org/10.71375/djvs.2023.01210

**Diyala Journal for Veterinary science** 



#### Introduction

human mainly utilize cow milk ,but they also use camels milk, goats, ,sheeps, and buffaloes is furthermore consumed for the manufacturing of milk products. Around fifty percentage of the milk produced is spent as boiled or fresh ,1\6 as curd or yoghurt and residual is consumption for industrialized of numerous kinds of dairy products for instance butter, ice cream, milk powder , cheese, which are usually existing. <sup>(1)</sup>

Lafarge *et al* .,2004 <sup>(2)</sup> reported that important losses for the food manufacturing belong to Milk Decay because of existence of the psychrotrophic microbes and is a specific pattern of the dairy trade Refregeration of Milk is for less than week previous heating it . Diminish value of raw milk due growing psychrotrophic germs at storage it at little temperatures.

highest of Gram-negative bacteria are Pseudomonas species that can treat dairy products creating heat constant extracellular enzymes ' These enzymes likewise can persist both UHT treatment and pasteurization .They have an influence taking place on the value of dairy foods resulting in rotten tastes, bitter and altering milk features Many reasons permit to pseudomonas to persist on milking machines, bulk tanks, pipelines such as ,these microbes have great inherited variety and metabolic capacity, they can survive in different environments, such as soil, water, and air.<sup>(3)</sup>

The multiplication and growth of microbes in the cheese be determined by many issues including the pH ,water activity , storage temperature and availability of nutrients. Cheeses are favorable medium to the bacterial putrefaction as a result of low concentration of salt and neutral pH and high moisture content.<sup>(4)</sup>

of Bacteria to resistance antibiotic is reflected a global difficult in the agricultural environmental, medical fields. Numerous investigators concentrated on pathogenic microorganisms conflict against antibiotic ,that attitude direct hazards for social wellbeing. Though, further and further studies are concentrating about microbes that living in close association related with nutriment previous studies displayed widespread fighting of Pseudomonas spp. isolated from raw milk toward numerous antibiotics, also certain even show the maximum recognized stages of antibacterial conflict <sup>(5).</sup>

Material and Methods :

A total of 60 random samples of milk and its products as raw and pasteurized milk, locally soft and salted cheese and cream were collected from different region at Diyala province. All samples were kept in an isolated, sterile plastic bag and conserved in an protected freeze box, after that they were transported immediately to the workroom below hygienic environments and inspected as rapidly as probable without interruption. isolation identification The and of pseudomonas occur according to (Isenberg,



2007) <sup>(6)</sup>, also For further identification, purification colonies were carried out according to morphological appearance and biochemical tests (ISO, 2004) <sup>(7)</sup>. Al strains were negative on indole, methyl red tests, Voges Proskauer (VP) tests and urease tests. In contrast that wholly isolated bacterial strains displayed positive on catalase and motility tests.

# Preparation of Bacterial Suspensions For Antimicrobial Sensitivity:

Antimicrobial sensitivity test was carried out, according to McFadden, 2004 <sup>(8)</sup> by the

Kirby-Bauer method, inoculate spreading over Muller- Hinton agar plates by using a sterilized cotton swab. Different Discs were employed moderately at a balanced distance from each other via a sterile needle, after that these plates were incubated for 24 hr at 37 °C , lastly result of inhibition zones were measured. Drug used in this study were, Erythromycin (15), Gentamycin (G10), Amikacin (30), Meropenem .

# Results

**Isolation and identification of bacteria** In present study, all samples which appeared non ferment colonies on MacConkey agar and display (dark purple at first 10 second) in Oxidase test confirm *Pseudomonas* bacteria ,as in figure (1)



Figure(1):shows positive oxidase test for *Pseudomonas* 



Source isolate	Frequency	Percentage	
Raw milk	8 out of 10	80%	
Pasturized milk	2 out of 10	20%	
Soft cheese	4 out of 10	40%	
Salt cheese	2 out of 10	20%	
Imported cream	0 of 10	0%	
Localy cream(gaimer)	0 of 10	0%	
0010	con Veta	0.07	

Table(1): show source and percentage of isolate during this study

Table (2):Antibacterial effect of some antibiotic against pseudomonas isolated in this study (mean inhibition zone)

Antibiotic	Diameter inhibition zone			Mean(mm)
Meropenem	30	30	31	30.5
Amikacin	20	21	20	20.5
Gentamycin	17	19	17	18
Erythromycin	8	8	8	8

#### **Discussion:**

Use of short temperatures have permitted delay of the spoilage and retailing of several newly milky yields. Conversely, obviously happening microbes . for example pseudomonads, have progressively turn into a actual anxiety toward refrigerated -kept fresh dairy foods since its capability to adapt themselves also grow at low temperatures. They are accountable for noticeable decay characters (rheology changes, discolorations structure loss,) and non-observable faults (off-odours, , off-flavours and protein

breakdown), which considerably diminish the excellence and shelf-life of dairy yields. At each phase of the industrial process of dairy yields ,Pseudomonads contamination happens also they converts to extra persistent and unaffected to hygenic measures once microbial cells raise as biofilm .The greatest common decomposition microbes of milk and its products are gram-positive sporeforming bacteria, clostridium spp Bacillus, *Streptococcus* spp and lactic acid producing bacteria , and Gram-negative rod-shaped bacteria, *Coliform and ,Pseudomonas spp.*, and as reported by IDF (1994) <sup>(9).</sup> Bacterial



adulteration of cheese belong to many causes for example packaging material ,handler, and environment <sup>(1).</sup>

<sup>(10)</sup> reported that several factors lead to spoilage of the samples such as the environment in which the cow is housed and milked ,the health and hygiene of the cow, and the procedures used in cleaning and sanitizing the milking and temperature and length of storage ,storage equipment's, wholly encouragement bacterial amounts in raw milk.<sup>(11)</sup> reported that the Cheeses are prepared-to-eat diet yields since they not need suffer additional application to certify its security before ingestion. Furthermore, impurity of cheeses can occur at numerous steps in the manufacture chain. Hence, all the evidence about bacterial features and susceptibility essential avoid is to contamination of dairy vields with pathogens. According to Dogan, and Boor, <sup>(12)</sup>,Reported prior studies were 2003 interested of detection of raw milk and dairy goods contamination with Pseudomonas spp. , however P. fluorescens is the major commonly involved in milky yields through milk destruction.

Investigation carried out by Gennari and Dragotto ,1992 (13) described that frequency of Pseudomonas spp. Was less than 90 % from the environmental samples plus foodstuffs, greatest frequently isolated (38.3%) was Pseudomonas fluorescens biovar. Also, 54.5% of Pseudomonas spp. were exposed from raw milk cheeses <sup>(14)</sup>, and fifty % of Pseudomonas spp. was gained starting from 1 day time raw milk cheeses <sup>(15)</sup>. At a prior study, the occurrence of Pseudomonas spp. was 30.5% from raw milk samples <sup>(16)</sup>. Furthermore, sixty seven % of Pseudomonas spp. Present in UHT milk,Pseudomonas species characterize the

terribly common group of psychrotrophic bacteria associated to milk putrefaction. On the extra adjacent, Pseudomonas species are capable to develop at cooling temperatures (7 °C or less), producing fermentation, putrefaction, , bitter ,sweety tastes because they metabolize fat and protein. <sup>(17)</sup>

Current result in this study documented that the percentage contamination of raw and pasteurized milk were (80%, 20)%) respectively with pseudomonas in agreement with study conducted by Derakhshani et al.,2020 (18) whom revealed that Psychrotrophic bacteria. mainly genus Pseudomonas, governor the microbial society in stored , refrigerated raw milk, and possibly too be a contaminant of pasteurized milk, Their incidence in refrigerated raw milk is greatest likely by reason of contamination during and/or after milking, with the milking , milking apparatus usually responsible for the highest contamination<sup>(19)</sup>. Moreover, after – heat treatment contamination of the milk with Pseudomonas spp. is an significant prompting the superiority reason deterioration of chilled pasteurized milk. During growth in raw milk, some bacteria particularly pseudomonas spp. yield out cellular lipolytic and proteolytic enzymes and these enzymes stay lively post pasteurization milk.

Earlier results definite the outcomes of many personnels such as Decimo et al 2016 <sup>(5)</sup>, Munsch-Alatossava, and Alatossava ,2007 <sup>(20)</sup>whom described conflict outlines of Gram-negative psychrotrophic bacteria toward antibiotic,though, psychrotrophic isolates from pasteurized , raw milk have



been instituted to be unaffected to gentamicin <sup>(21)</sup>this finding is disagreement with our result which reaveled that inhibitory zone diameter for *pseudomonas* was (17mm) toward Gentamycin.

#### Conclusion

1-The high prevalence of *P. aeruginosa* was located in raw milk.
2-The highest sensitivity was against Meropenem while the less sensitivity was against Erythromycin

3- presence of heat stable enzymes produced by *Pseudomonas* spp., that effect on milk and its products like bad odor, bitter taste, and also affect texture represent another source of contamination additionally to presence viable cells of *Pseudomonas* spp.

4-Contamination of milk with prevalence psychrotrophic microbial contamination post pasteurization is consider a latent difficult.

## **Recommendations:**

- 1. For assurance hygienic milk and Dairy product manufacture also supply, Regularly examination must be used.
- 2. Dairy product must be carried out in healthy ways.
- 3. Keep milk and dairy products in distinct cooler to avoid contamination and growth microorganisim.

#### **Refrences:**

1-Pal, M., & Jadhav, V. J. Microbial contamination of various India milk products. *Beverage and Food World* 2013; *40*(12), 43-44.

2-Lafarge V., Ogier J. C., Girard V., Maladen V.,

Leveau J. Y., Gruss A., . Raw cow milk bacterial population shifts attributable to refrigeration.

Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2004 ;70 5644–5650.

3-Richter, R.L. and Vedamuthu, E.R. Milk and

Milk Products. Compendium of Methods for the

Microbiological Examination of Foods, American

Public Health Association, Washington, DC, 2001; 483-495

4-Dermiki, M.; Ntzimani, A.; Badeka, A.; Savvaidis, I. N. and Kontominas, M. G.. Shelf-life extension and quality attributes of the whey cheese , Myzithra Kalathaki<sup>\*\*\*</sup> using modified atmosphere packaging. Laboratory of Food Chemistry and Food Microbiology. 2008;41:284–294..

5-Decimo, M., Silvetti, T., and Brasca, M. . Antibiotic resistance patterns of Gram-negative psychrotrophic bacteria from bulk tank milk. *J. Food Sci.* 2016; 81, M944–M951. doi: 10.1111/1750-3841.13250



6-Isenberg, H.D. Clinical Microbiology Procedures Handbook, Second Edi., ASM Press, Washington, 2007;pp 3.17.39.

7-ISO (International Standards Organization)
Horizontal method for enumeration of microorganisms, colony count technique at 30oC. 2004. International Standards Organization.

8-Macfaddin, J.F. Biochemical tests for Identifications of medical bacteria. 3rd ed. Lippin Cott Williams and Wilkins. 1979. Philadelphia, USA.

9-International Dairy Federation( IDF) 1994. Pasteurization and other heat treatment processes in: Recommendation for the hygienic manufacture of milk and milk based products. Bulletin of the International Dairy Federation N 292 . Belgium. In food and Microbilogy 1994. Academic press .-I N C.

10-Murphy, S.C. and Boor, K. J. Troubleshooting and causes of high bacteria counts in raw milk. Dairy Food and Environmental Sanitation. 2000; 20 (8): 606-611.

11-Kousta, M., M. Mataragas, P. Skandamis and H. Drosinos. Prevalence and sources of cheese contamination with pathogens at farm and processing levels. Food Control.2010; 21:805–815

12-Dogan, B., and K. J. Boor. Genetic diversity and spoilage potentials among *Pseudomonas* spp. isolated from fluid milk products

and dairy processing plants. Appl. Environ.

Microbiol.2003;69:130– 138

13-Gennari, M., and F. Dragotto. A study of the incidence of different fluorescent *Pseudomonas* species and biovars in the microflora of fresh and spoiled meat and fish, raw milk,

cheese, soil and water. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 1992;72:281–288.

14-Leriche, F., A. Bordessoules, K. Fayolle, R. Karoui, K. Laval, L. Leblanc, and E. Dufour. Alteration of rawmilk cheese by *Pseudomonas* spp.: Monitoring the sources contamination of using fluorescence spectroscopy and metabolic profiling. J. Microbiol. Methods .2004;59:33-41

15-Morales, P., E. Fernandez-Garcia, and M. Nunez. Volatile compounds produced in cheese by *Pseudomonas* strains of dairy origin belonging to six different species. J. Agric.

Food Chem.2005;53:6835–6843

16-Uraz, G., and S. Çıtak. The isolation of*Pseudomonas*andothergram(-)psychrotrophicbacteriainrawmilks.J.BasicMicrobiol.1998;38:129–13



17-Chen, T. R., Q. K. Wei, and Y. J. Chen.*Pseudomonas*spp.andHafnia alveigrowth in UHT milk at coldstorage.FoodContr.2011;22:697–701

18-Derakhshani, H., Plaizier, J. C., De Buck, J., Barkema, H. W., & Khafipour, E. .Composition and co-occurrence patternsof the microbiota of different nichesof the bovine mammary gland: Potential associations with mastitis susceptibility, udder inflammation, and teat-end hyperkeratosis. Animal Microbiome, 2020; 2. Article 11 19-Oliveira, G. B. de, Favarin, L., Luchese, R. H., & McIntosh, D. Psychrotrophic bacteria in milk: How much do we really know? Brazilian Journal of Microbiology, 2015;46, 313e321.

20-Munsch-Alatossava, P., and Alatossava, T. Antibiotic resistance of rawmilkassociated psychrotrophic bacteria. *Microbiol. Res.* 2007;162, 115–123. doi: 10.1016/j.micres.2006.01.015

21-Beena, A. K., Ranjini, A. R., and Riya, T. G. Isolation of psychrotrophic multiple drug resistant *Pseudomonas* from pasteurised milk. *Vet. World* 4, 2011; 349–352